

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,509	07/22/2003	Alex Horng	HORN3154/EM	5798
23364 75	590 08/26/2004		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			DUDA, RINA I	
625 SLATERS	LANE			
FOURTH FLO	OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2837	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	cK			
	10/623,509	HORNG ET AL.	V.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rina I Duda	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ Thi	s action is non-final.		•			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
						Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		•			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/22/03</u>. 			O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/623,509

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Park (US Patent 4329630).

Claims 1 and 2, Park discloses a control system for a DC motor comprising a rectifier 124 connected to an AC power source 24 for converting the AC power into DC voltage, a voltage-stabilizing control unit 40 connected between the rectifier 124 and the motor drive system 46, a voltage-stabilizing unit Q1 connected between the voltage-stabilizing control unit and the motor drive system, wherein the voltage-stabilizing unit turn ON/OFF the motor driver.

Claim 3 and 4, Park describes a varistor 126 connected between the rectifier and the motor driver.

Claims 5 and 6, Park describes a filter capacitor 36 connected between the rectifier and the motor driver.

Claim 7, Park describes that the voltage-stabilizing unit includes operational amplifiers, resistors, capacitors, and diodes as shown in figure 6.

Claim 8, Park describes operational amplifier 328 having an input connected to a reference voltage and the other input connected to the DC voltage from the rectifier.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,509 Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park (US patent 4329630) and (Harlan et al (US patent 5606232).

The only difference between the teachings of Park and the subject matter of claim 9 is that claim 9 recites that the voltage-stabilizing unit is a MOSFET.

Harlan et al teach a control system for a DC motor comprising a voltagestabilizing unit 262 connected between a voltage-stabilizing control unit 240 and the motor driver 366.

It would have been obvious to use a MOSFET as a voltage-stabilizing unit, since MOSFET provide higher switching frequency.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The documents cited in PTO-892 describe other DC motor control systems using varistors, filter, and rectifiers.

Art Unit: 2837

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rina I Duda whose telephone number is 571-272-2062.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 37. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RD